

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/876,349	06/07/2001	Alfredo Venegas	CISC-25,675 9085		
31782 75	590 11/19/2002				
CHAUZA & HANDLEY, L.L.P.			EXAMINER		
PO BOX 140036 IRVING, TX 75014			CHANG, YEAN HSI		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2835		
			DATE MAILED: 11/19/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		09/876,349		VENEGAS ET AL.	IV			
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Yean-Hsi Chang		2835				
1	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover	sheet with the d	orrespondence addre	ess			
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 J</u>	<u>lune 2001</u> .						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-fi	nal.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.							
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>24-26</u> is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election require	ment.					
	on Papers	_			•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
11)[]]	Applicant may not request that any objection to the Fhe proposed drawing correction filed on							
''/	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep			oved by the Examiner.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.							
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	4)		y (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Patent Application (PTO-				

Art Unit: 2835

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 1 and 14 are objected to because of the following informalities: The "the first set" in claim 1, last line, "said first cable set" and "said flexible cable management arm" in claim 14, last line have miss-leading meanings. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mayer (US 6,305,556 B1) in view of Hagan (US 5,152,443) and Champion et al. (US 6,327,139 B1).

Mayer teaches a cable management arm comprising:

A single member (100, fig.1) having a main body portion (middle portions of 300 and 302, fig. 3) defining a longitudinal axis (not shown) (claims 1 and 14)

Art Unit: 2835

- ➤ Hinge regions (304, 308 and 314, fig. 3) being spaced apart to define at least two linkages (300 and 302, fig. 3) of said single member, adjacent ones of said linkages being pivotally connected by one hinge region (see fig. 3), and said linkages together having a first end (end of 300, not numbered, fig. 4) being fastened to a stationary portion (306, fig. 4) of a server system rack (104, fig. 4) and second end (end of 302, not numbered, fig. 4) being fastened to a movable portion (316, fig. 4) of a drawer (106, fig. 2) (claims 1 and 14)
- > Cable retention tabs (318, fig. 3) for securing cables (claims 1 and 14)
- Apertures (524 and 526, fig. 5) in said main body disposed adjacent to said cable retention tabs (claims 9 and 12)
- Wherein said single member has a cross section of a channel shape (see fig.
 3) (claims 10, 13 and 22)

Mayer fails to teach a plurality of grooves formed into opposite sides of the main body portion to define hinge regions and cable retention tabs integrally formed with the single member. However, Hagan teaches hinge regions (48, fig. 3) provided to connect rigid linkages (44, fig. 2), defined by grooves (not numbered, fig. 3) formed into opposite sides of a main body portion, extending transverse to a longitudinal axis (not shown) and being aligned back-to-back on opposite sides of said main body portion, having at least a first part being generally arcuate shape and at least a second portion being generally rectangular shape (shown in fig. 3, not numbered), having outer edges being chamfered (shown in fig. 3, not numbered), (claims 1-6 and 14-18); and Champion teaches cable retention tabs (106b, fig. 1) integrally formed with a single member (106,

Application/Control Number: 09/876,349 Page 4

Art Unit: 2835

fig. 1), having a first portions (not numbered, fig. 1) extending vertically from a main body portion (not numbered, fig. 1) of said single member and second portions (not numbered, fig. 1) extending substantially parallel to the main body portion, forming L-shaped, (claims 7-8, 11 and 19-20). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Mayer with the hinge regions taught by Hagan and the cable retention tabs taught by Champion for the purpose of making the cable management arm simpler and easier to operate with.

4. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lopez et al. (US 6,021,047) in view of Hagan and Landrum et al. (US 6,353,532 B1)

Lopez teaches a drawer comprising:

- > A tray (24, fig. 2)
- Telescoping slide assemblies (26, fig. 2) having inner rails (26a, fig. 2) mounted to said tray and outer rails (26b, fig. 2) mounted to a server system rack (18, fig. 2)
- ➤ A first cable set (held in 28, not shown, fig. 2) connecting from said tray to said system rack
- ➤ A single member (28, fig. 2) defining a flexible cable management arm which is secured between said tray and the server system rack
- Hinges (not numbered, fig. 1) defining a plurality of linkages (not numbered, fig. 1) in said single member

Art Unit: 2835

Lopez fails to teach a single member of molded plastic having opposite disposed grooves defining hinge regions defining linkages in said single member, and cable retention members intergrally molded as part of said single member of molded plastic, and being L-shaped. However, Hagan teaches a single member of molded plastic (see fig. 3; and col. 4, lines 60-62, elastomeric is one kind of plastic) hinge regions (48, fig. 3) provided to connect rigid linkages (44, fig. 2), defined by grooves (not numbered, fig. 3) formed into opposite sides of said single member of molded plastic; and Landrum teaches cable retention members (on element 105, not numbered, fig. 4) molded as part of cable management arm (105, fig. 4), and being L-shaped. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Lopez with the single member of molded plastic taught by Hagan and the cable retention members taught by Landrum for the purpose of making the cable management arm simpler and easier to operate with.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 24-26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The best prior art of record, Mayer (US 6,305,556 B1), Hagan (US 5,152,443), Champion et al. (US 6,327,139 B1), Lopez et al. (US 6,021,047), and Landrum et al.

(US 6,353,532 B1) taken alone or in combination, fails to teach or fairly suggest a drawer comprising a toolless fastener mounted to at least one end of outer rails of telescoping slide assemblies, having a latch member with forwardly extending latch portion being selectively movable in a lineal direction to selectively engage within a rack frame of a server system rack as set forth in claim 24. Claims 25 and 26 are dependent claims from claim 24.

Correspondence

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yean-Hsi Chang whose telephone number is (703) 306-5798. The examiner can normally be reached on 07:30-16:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren Schuberg can be reached on (703) 308-4815. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3431 for regular communications and for After Final communications. There are RightFAX numbers and provide the fax sender with an auto-reply fax verifying receipt by the USPTO: Before-Final (703-872-9318) and After-Final (703-872-9319).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 305-8558.

Art Unit: 2835

Yean-Hsi Chang Patent Examiner Art Unit: 2835

November 10, 2002

DARREN SCHUBERG
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800